

2017-06-24 Meeting notes

Date

24 Jun 2017

Attendees

- [Alexander Nozik](#)
- [Mihail Zelenyy](#)
- [Almaz Fazliakhmetov](#)
- [Анна](#)

Goals

- BAT meeting report
- Discuss language
- Discuss tooling (postponed to next meeting)

Results

- Julia is not good:
 - Calling it from anything is pain. One need to carry Julia runtime with them.
 - Bad tooling support
 - Very small community
 - The promise of performance is not backed by any real proof (see [here](#))
- Java / other JVM languages is slightly better than Julia but still bad due to problematic calls from non-JVM languages (the situation is better than in Julia but still far from good).
- The library core could be written in C++ with enforced code conventions and rules. To do so we need very good architecture planning before we start.
- As a side variant we could try Rust (Proposed by [Alexander Nozik](#) but not supported by others). On the bad side we need to learn it and it is rather new, on good side, it is functional oriented, memory safe, have a large community and looks good.
- MIT people still going to start with Julia. Since the library core is very small, we will have time to try different languages and choose one.
- The most important thing at the moment is use cases, API and architecture plan.



Action items

- ☑ Troitsk nu-mass use case Alexander Nozik 30 Jun 2017

- ☒ Turchin's regularization use-case [Mihail Zelenyy](#) 30 Jun 2017
- ☐ General API proposal [Alexander Nozik](#)
- ☐ Modular structure proposal [Alexander Nozik](#)
- ☐ Rust toy-project [Alexander Nozik](#)